SUPRE STATE OF 3/4/2019 BY SUSAN	ILED ME COURT WASHINGTON 4:30 PM L. CARLSON LERK	James Vines P.O. Box 1365 Port Angeles, WA 98531-0058 js8430314@gmail.com	
5 6 7		A copy of this document was filed a electronically. I certify (or declare) under penalty under the laws of the State of Washit the foregoing is true and correct. DATED Mar. 4, 2019. Port Angeles, WA	of perjury ngton that
8		Filed at the Washington \$t Supreme Court Temple of Justice, Olympia, WA 98504-0929	
9		Сіўпіріа, VA 30304-0323	
10	In The Supreme Court of Washington		
11	Tur Crum on W. cum one	NGTON,  ) No. 96525-1  Respondent,  ) STATE'S RESPONSE TO APPELLANT'S  v.  ) MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD	
12	THE STATE OF WASHINGTON, ) No. 96525-1		
13			
14	,		
15	) IAMES VINES		
16	JAMES VINES, )		
17	Appellant.		
18			
19	I. IDENTITY OF MOVING PARTY		
20	The respondent, STATE OF WASHINGTON, asks this Court for the relief designated		
21			
22	in Part II of this motion.		
23			
24	II. STATEMENT OF RELIEF SOUGHT		
25	The State respectfully moves the Court to deny the Appellant's motion to		
26	supplement the record with his forensic evaluation reports which were not in the record		
27 28	before the Court of Appeals.		
X	before the Court of Appears.		
29			
	Supplement Record; 223	Nichols, Prosecuting Attorney Appeals Unit East 4 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 11 Angeles, WA 98362-3015	

# 18

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

29

#### III. **FACTS RELEVANT TO MOTION**

Mr. Vines filed a request, dated Jan. 31, 2019, to supplement the record with a psychological evaluation. The psychological evaluation itself is dated Dec. 31, 2010. This record is intended to be read in conjunction with the Petition for Review.

### IV. GROUNDS FOR RELIEF AND ARGUMENT

The psychological evaluation does not advance any of the criteria for accepting review under RAP 13.4(b). Further, the relevance of the evaluation in reviewing the Court of Appeals decision in this case is questionable considering that it was dated in Dec. 2010 and was not before the Court of Appeals on direct review.

### IV. **CONCLUSION**

Therefore, the State moves this Court to deny the motion to supplement the record.

DATED this 4th day of March, 2019.

MARK B. NICHOLS PROSECUTING ATTORNEY

JESSE ESPINOZA, WSBA No. 40240 Deputy Prosecuting Attorney

State's Response to Motion to Supplement Record; Page 2 of 2



Mark B. Nichols, Prosecuting Attorney **Appeals Unit** 223 East 4th Street, Suite 11 Port Angeles, WA 98362-3015

### CLALLAM COUNTY DEPUTY PROSECUTING ATTORN

# March 04, 2019 - 4:30 PM

# **Transmittal Information**

Filed with Court: Supreme Court

**Appellate Court Case Number:** 96525-1

**Appellate Court Case Title:** State of Washington v. James Robert Vines

**Superior Court Case Number:** 16-1-00481-3

# The following documents have been uploaded:

965251\_Answer\_Reply\_20190304162748SC465688\_9433.pdf

This File Contains:

Answer/Reply - Answer to Motion

The Original File Name was Vines - 96525-1 -Response to Appellants Motion to Supplement Record.pdf

# A copy of the uploaded files will be sent to:

• js8430314@gmail.com

# **Comments:**

Answer to Appellant's Motion to Supplement the Record

Sender Name: Jesse Espinoza - Email: jespinoza@co.clallam.wa.us

Address:

223 E 4TH ST STE 11

PORT ANGELES, WA, 98362-3000

Phone: 360-417-2301

Note: The Filing Id is 20190304162748SC465688